

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/657,248	09/09/2003	Nobuyasu Suzuki	SUZUKI=25A	4043		
1444	7590 10/04/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			BASHORE	BASHORE, ALAIN L		
624 NINTH S SUITE 300	624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300			PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001-5303		1762			
	•	DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/657,248	57,248 SUZUKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alain L. Bashore	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of thi	,
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>9-14-</u>	.05		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		ers prospecution as to the	morite is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	,	rillerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D.	11, 403 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		w the Everniner	
	•	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			-D 4 404(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		*	, ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached	Office Action of form P1	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	•	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	received in this National	Stage
application from the International Bureau	, ,,,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) \(\square\) Notice of in 6) \(\square\) Other: \(\square\)	formal Patent Application	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-,	-	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.
 - I. Claims 2-8, drawn to process, classified in class 427, subclass 162.
 - II. Claims 1 and 10, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 715.
 - III. Claim 9 is drawn to product, classified in class 257, subclass 79.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as one for other than for "fine" particles.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,248

Art Unit: 1762

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as one for other than for "fine" particles.

Page 3

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Neimark on 9-29-06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1762

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,248 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762